10-Co-

1033.006US1 Pra titi n r's Docket N

Filed: 1-24-2001 For:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK

In re application of: Delores M. Wright

Application No.: 09 / 768,694

Group No. Examiner:

RECEIVED

JUN 0 8 2001

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

#### PETITION TO ESTABLISH PRIOR RECEIPT IN THE P.T.O. OF ITEM(S) CONSIDERED AS OMITTED BY THE P.T.O.—RESPONSE TO ☐ "NOTICE OF OMITTED ITEMS" ☑ "NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE APPLICATION"

#### NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION

NOTE: "The Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) reviews application papers to determine whether all of the pages of specification are present in the application. If the application is filed without all of the page(s) of the specification, but containing something that can be construed as a written description, at least one drawing figure, if necessary under 35 U.S.C. 113 (first sentence), and, in a nonprovisional application, at least one claim, OIPE will mail a "Notice of Omitted Items" indicating that the application papers so deposited have been accorded a filing date, but are lacking some page(s) of the specification." MPEP, § 601.01(d), 7th Edition.

WARNING: This submission must be "within two months of the date of the 'Notice of Omitted Items' (37 C.F.R. 1.181(f))." Notice of June 5, 1996, 61 Fed. Reg. 30,041, 30,041 (Jun. 5, 1996). See also § 601.01(d), MPEP, 7th Edition. "As an applicant may, but is not required, to reply to such a 'Notice or Omitted items,' extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136 will not be applicable to this 2-month period.

Adjustment date: 01/24/2002 AKEL(emphasis in original).

06708/2001 GTEFFERA 00000013 09768694 01 FC:122

-130.00 OP

Refund Ref: 01/24/2002

0030006767

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.10\*

(Express Mail label number is mandatory.) (Express Mail certification is optional.)

Credit Card Refund Total: \$130.00
I hereby certify that this correspondence and the documents referred to as attached therein are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on this date June 5, 2001
in an envelope as "Express

addressed to the:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

08/08/2001 GTEFFERA 00000012 09768694

01 FC:122

130.00 OP

Michael Dryja (type or print name of person\_mailing paper)

Signature of person mailing paper

WARNING: Certificate of mailing (first class) or facsimile transmission procedures of 37 C.F.R. § 1.8 cannot be used to obtain a date of mailing or transmission for this correspondence.

\*WARNING: Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" must have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing. 37 C.F.R. § 1.10(b).

"Since the filing of correspondence under § 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement will not be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 24, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at 56,442.

(Petition to Establish Prior Receipt in the P.T.O.of Item(s) Considered as Omitted by the P.T.O.--Response to □ "Notice of Omitted Items" ☑ "Notice of Incomplete Application"—Nonprovisional Application [5-11]

—page 1 of 4)

## UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE Washington, D.C. 20231

REQUEST FOR PATENT FEE REFUND						
1 Date of Request: 1/16/02 2 Serial/Patent # 09/768,694						
3 Please refund the following fee(s):			4 PAP NUM	ER BER	5 DATE FILED	6 AMOUNT
	Filing					\$
	Amendment					\$
	Extension of Time					\$
	Notice of Appeal/Appeal					\$
χ	Petition		4		1/5/01	\$ 130,00
7'	Issue					\$
	Cert of Correction/Terminal	Disc.				\$
	Maintenance					\$
	Assignment					\$
	Other					\$
			7 TOTAL AMOUNT \$ 130,00			\$ 130,00
			8 TO BE REFUNDED BY CCard			
10 REASON:			Treasury Check			
Overpayment Credit Deposit A/C					osit A/C #:	
X	Duplicate Payment 9					
No Fee Due (Explanation):						
11 REFUND REQUESTED BY:						
TYPED/PRINTED NAME: E. Shivene Wills TITLE: Petitions Aftry						
SIGNATURE: SMULL WILLS PHONE: 308-6712						
OFFICE: OFFICE POLITICIS.						
THIS SPACE RESERVED FOR FINANCE USE ONLY:						
APPROVED: Cliccu Kella DATE: 1-24-02						
IL						

Instructions for completion of this form appear on the back. After completion, attach white and yellow copies to the official file and mail or hand-carry to:

Office of Finance Refund Branch Crystal Park One, Room 802B

NOTE:	responderming reduction § 1.5% be treated	e an applicant willing to accept a nonphovisional application as deposited in the Prond to the 'Notice of Omitted Items,' the filing of an express communication to that it the PTO to proceed with the processing of the application for examination, and, are the delay in the examination of the application. The failure to file a petition under 37 Ca(c) r 1.182 (and the required petition fee) within 2 months of the 'Notice of Omitted as a constructive acceptance by applicant of the application as deposited in the Pn. 5, 1996, 61 Fed. Reg. 30,041, 30,046.; M.P.E.P. § 601.01(d), 7th ed.	effect would as such, may C.F.R. section ad Items' will
NOTE:	of suc in con 1.182 of the	pplicant desiring to submit the omitted page(s) in a nonprovisional application and accept submission as the application filing date must file any omitted page(s) with an oath of appliance with 37 section 1.63 and 1.64 referring to such page(s) and a petition under with the petition fee under 37 section 1.17(h)) requesting the later filing date withing date of the Notice of Omitted Items' (37 section 1.181(f))." Notice of Jun. 5, 1996, (1, 30,041. See § 601.01(d), MPEP, 7th Edition.	or declaration ler 37 section n two months
NOTE:	the pr accep submi	n view of the relatively low filing fee for provisional applications, and the PTO's desire recessing of provisional applications, the PTO will not grant petitions under 37 sector omitted page(s) or drawing(s) and accord an application filing date as of the dission. Instead, the applicant should simply refile the complete provisional applicatio 5, 1996, 61 Fed. Reg. 30,041-30,046, at 30,044.See § 601.01(d), MPEP, 7th Editio	tion 1.182 to date of such en." Notice of
I. This	is in	response to the	
☐ "I	NOTIC	CE OF OMITTED ITEMS"	
		CE OF INCOMPLETE APPLICATION	
nailed f	or thi	is application on $\frac{4/11/2001}{}$	
Z	A	copy of the	,
		"NOTICE OF OMITTED ITEMS"	
	$\boxtimes$	"NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE APPLICATION	
is	enclo	osed.	
		asserts that the items indicated as omitted were in fact deposited the the original application papers filed on $\frac{1/24/01}{1}$ .	d with the
		ance with the requirements of the Notice of June 5, 1996, 61 Fed. Resee MPEP, § 601.01(d), 7th Edition) applicant hereby:	g. 30,041-
A.		titions under 37 section 1.53(e) for a review of the determination that issue were omitted;	the items
В.	. Sul	bmits the petition fee under 37 section 1:17(i) and	
C	. Sul	bmits the attached evidence of the deposit of the items in issue.	
. Fee	Paym	nent	
. 🗆	Att	ached is a  check  money order in the amount of	\$130.00
X	Aut	thorization is hereby made to charge the amount of	\$130.00
		to Deposit Account No	
	×	to Credit card as shown on the attached credit card information a tion form PTO-2038	authoriza-
WARNIN	IG: C	redit card information should not be included on this form as it may become publi	lic.
		Charge any additional fees required by this paper or credit any ove in the manner authorized above.	rpayment

(Petition to Establish Prior Receipt in the P.T.O.of Item(s) Considered as Omitted by the P.T.O.—Response to
☐ "Notice of Omitted Items" ☑ "Notice of Incomplete Application"—Nonprovisional Application [5-11]
—page 2 of 4)

A duplicate of this paper is attached.

5.	Requ	est for	Refund For Petition Fee	Under 37 Cl	FR 1.53(e)	
٨			ion fee will be refunded if it is opplication papers deposited on			eceived by the PTO
			ly requested that, upon gefunded by:	rant of the p	petition under 37sect	tion 1.53(e), the
	$\boxtimes$	a refu	nd check			•
-		a cre	dit to the charge authoriz	ed above		
6.			Request for Filing Date A ovisional Petition under 3			Omitted Items
In	Issue d	does no	at the Petition to Establi t result in a decision by by the P.T.O. on the origina	the P.T.O. tl	hat the omitted item	s in issue were
	(a)	subm	ts the omitted item(s) list	ted on the		
		<b>"</b>	NOTICE OF OMITTED IT	EMS"		
		⊠ "	NOTICE OF INCOMPLET	E APPLICAT	10N;	
	(b)		ts a declaration in complomitted item(s);	iance with 3	7 section 1.63 and 1	.64 referring to
	(c)		ns under 37 section 1.18 ation filing date; and	32 to accept	the date of this sub	omission as the
	(d)	subm	ts the petition fee under	37 section 1	1.17(h).	
7.	Petitio	n Fee	for Provisional Petition u	nder 37 sect	tion 1.182	
1	The fee	under	37 section 1.17(h) is paid	d as follows:		•
		Attacl	ned is a 🗌 check 🔲 m	oney order	in the amount of	\$130.00
	$\boxtimes$	Autho	rization is hereby made t	o charge the	amount of	\$130.00
		□ to	Deposit Account No		· ·	
			Credit card as shown or on form PTO-2038	the attache	d credit card informa	ation authoriza-
W	ARNING	: Credi	card information should not b	e included on t	his form as it may becon	ne public.
•			harge any additional fees the manner authorized a		his paper or credit an	ny overpayment
	Ac	luplicat	e of this paper is attache	ed.		
•						•

(Petition to Establish Prior Receipt in the P.T.O.of Item(s) Considered as Omitted by the P.T.O.—Response to
☐ "Notice of Omitted Items" ☑ "Notice of Incomplete Application"—Nonprovisional Application [5-11]
—page 3 of 4)



Upon grant of the petition under 37 section 1.53(e) and the determination by the P.T.O. that the omitted items in issue w re filed with the original papers filed for this application, applicant respectfully requests that the petition fee under 37 section 1.182 be refund d by:

$\boxtimes$	а	refi	ınd	ch	eck
	a	1611	31 IU	U1 11	こしァ

a credit to the charge authorized abo
---------------------------------------

Reg. No.:39,662	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER	
· .	Michael Dryja	٠
Tel. No.: ( 425 ) 427-5094	(type or print name of practitioner)	
	704 228th Ave NE #694	
Customer No :	P.O. Address	
Customer No.: 23441	Sammamish, WA 98074	

Plus EVIDENCE OF DEPOSIT OF ITEM(S) WITH APPLICATION INDICATED AS OMITTED IN THE 
"NOTICE OF OMITTED ITEMS" "NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE APPLICATION" —NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION

(Petition to Establish Prior Receipt in the P.T.O.of Item(s) Considered as Omitted by the P.T.O.—Response to
□ "Notice of Omitted Items" ☑ "Notice of Incomplete Application"—Nonprovisional Application [5–11]
—page 4 of 4)

Pra titi ner' Docket N . 1033.006US1



# EVIDENCE OF DEPOSIT OF ITEM(S) WITH APPLICATION INDICATED AS OMITTED IN THE "NOTICE OF OMITTED ITEMS" NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE APPLICATION -NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION

RECEIVED

JUN 0 8 2001

		$\cdot$	
in c	conne	ection with the	OFFICE OF PETITIONS
		"NOTICE OF OMITTED ITEMS"	
	$\boxtimes$	"NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE APPLICATION"	•
dated indica is the	ted :	$\frac{1/2001}{}$ , applicant submits the following evidence that somitted were in fact deposited with the P.T.O. on $\frac{4/11/2001}{}$ inal date on which the papers for this application were deposited	, which
		a photocopy of the date stamped return post card receipt showing the item(s) indicated as omitted were in fact deposited. (See MPI Edition).	
	×	other:	

Please see following pages.

### Argument made in substantiation of Evidence of Deposit of Item(s) with Application Indicated as Omitted in the Notice of Incomplete Non-Provisional Application

Applicant notes that the USPTO mailed, on April 11, 2001, a Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application, in which it is asserted that the specification does not include at least one claim, and that the abstract must be on a separate sheet.

Applicant notes that the USPTO implicitly acknowledges the receipt of the abstract, inasmuch as the USPTO has indicated to the Applicant that the abstract must be on a separate sheet.

However, Applicant respectfully asserts that the claims asserted as missing by the USPTO are on the same sheet on which the abstract is, specifically the last page of the originally filed application. A copy of this sheet is attached towards the end of this document, and is indicated as 'COPY," since it is a copy of the last page of the application as was originally filed. Because the USPTO has implicitly acknowledged that the abstract was in fact present with the originally filing of the application, it cannot also claim that the claims were missing.

More specifically, eight claims are presented beginning and ending on this last page of the application, the first two of which are independent claims, and the last six of which are dependent claims. Claims 1 and 2 are method claims. Claim 1 recites "a method that allows a person to store . . .," whereas claim 2 recites "a method that enables a person to build . . . ."

Applicant provisionally admits that the claims presented are not in the usual form submitted to the USPTO, and that they are not led by a preamble such as "I claim," as is usual practice. However, Applicant avers that neither of these deficiencies is legal grounds for denying Applicant her filing date on the basis that at least one claim must be included with the originally filed application.

As to the first point, that the claims presented are not in the usual form, Applicant notes that the MPEP states that "there is no set statutory form for claims." Section 608.01(m). Indeed, aspects of the customary form of claims presented in the MPEP are satisfied by the originally filed claims. For instance, as per the MPEP's guidance, "[e]ach claim begins with a capital letter and ends with a period." Id. Furthermore, "[p]eriods may not be used elsewhere in the claims except for abbreviations." Id.

As to the second point, that the claims are not led by a preamble such as "I claim," Applicant notes that the MPEP itself implicitly indicates that this deficiency does not affect the substantiveness of the claims, in as much as the MPEP indicates that "[i]f, at the time of allowance, the quoted terminology is not present, it is inserted by the clerk." Section 608.01(m).

Page 2 Argument as to Evidence of Deposit of Items serial no. 09/768,694

Finally, Applicant acknowledges that the abstract is not on a separate page, and to that end, submits two pages at the end of this document, one separately for the claims, and one separately for the abstract.

In summary, Applicant asserts that the USPTO is mistaken in its assertion that claims were not included with the originally filed application. The USPTO implicitly admitted that an abstract was included with the originally filed application, but that it did not begin on a separate page. Because the page it was included on in the originally filed application also includes the claims as described above, Applicant respectfully submits that the USPTO has implicitly admitted that claims were in fact included with the originally filed application.

Should any further questions arise as to this matter, please call Applicant's Representative, the phone number of whom is listed below.

6-4-07

Representative for Applicant

Michael Dryja, Reg. No. 39,662

Law Offices of Michael Dryja

704 228<sup>th</sup> Ave NE #694

Sammamish, WA 98074

tel: 425-427-5094 fax: 206-374-2819

- 1. The Flexible, Orienting, and Be Shopping Wallet for Netizens is a mood that allows a person to store her wallet on a specified Internet server or else to store her wallet on that Internet access device or on a portable medium while maintaining complete version control.
- 2. The Flexible, Orienting, and Better Shopping Wallet for Netizens is a method that enables a person to build her order and delivery instructions within a wallet on her Internet access device while retrieving data from various servers and then supply them to a server.
- 3. In addition to (3) the said data can be recorded in said person's wallet.
- 4. In addition to (3) said person can select a journey duration for each item.
- 5. In addition to (3) said order can be divided by said wallet according to destination, dispatch delay, and journey duration of each item in said order.
- 6. In addition to (3) said wallet obtains carriage costs and sales tax from a server based on journey durations and maximum volume and weight allowed.
- 7. In addition to (6) said wallet determines by reference to said server any import duty payable by reference to destination and fulfillment depot of each said item.
- 8. In addition to (7) said wallet arranges for said duty to be paid automatically when said items arrive at country of destination.